EXHIBIT 2

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

Methyl Tertiary Butyl: MDL NO. 1358 (SAS)

Ether ("MTBE") :

Products Liability :

Litigation

In Re:

City of New York v. Amerada Hess, et al.

No. 04 Civ. 3417

CONFIDENTIAL (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

October 10, 2009

Videotaped Deposition of BRUCE F. BURKE, held at the New York City Law Department, 100 Church Street, 6th Floor, New York, New York, beginning at approximately 2:10 p.m., before Ann V. Kaufmann, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Approved Reporter of the U.S. District Court, and a Notary Public.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(P) 877.370.3377 (F) 917.591.5672
deps@golkow.com

169 171 1 0. Did you review any 1 further questions. 2 MS. AMRON: I do. Just a 2 documents specifically in preparation 3 few questions. 3 just for your testimony today? MR. STACK: Objection. 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MS. AMRON: 5 No. I've relied on my A. 6 Q. Referring to Exhibit 8 6 experience over time. 7 which you have in front or you, 7 Did you do any research specifically for your -- in preparing Mr. Burke, have you ever seen that 8 8 9 document before counsel handed it to you 9 for your testimony today? 10 today? 10 MR. STACK: Objection. 11 A. No, I have not. 11 I really did not. I was 12 0. I don't have a copy of it. 12 told to rely on my knowledge that I've 13 It is a document called a stipulation. 13 gained over 30 years. I have mentioned Do you have an understanding -- before a number of specific engagements that 14 14 15 counsel explained it to you today, did 15 were relevant to how gasoline is 16 you have an understanding of what a 16 distributed, transported in California, 17 stipulation is? 17 so I reviewed those really for memory. Unfortunately, no. I'm not I did not dig up the original reports or 18 Α. 18 19 a Lawyer. So I did not. 19 anal yses. 20 Was your direct testimony 20 Q. Now, you were asked by Q. 21 today based on the information that you 21 Mr. Stack fairly early in his 22 gained and knowledge that you have 22 questioning of you a series of questions 23 gained during your 30 years of 23 about working for individual pipelines. 24 experience in your current position? 24 Do you recall that series of questions? 170 172 A. 1 A. Yes. 1 Yes. 2 MR. STACK: Objection. 2 Q. And you answered that you 3 had not worked for the various pipeline 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was based on that. 4 systems that he mentioned to you? 4 5 BY MS. AMRON: 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Did you review documents in 6 Q. If you didn't work for preparation for preparing -- in 7 7 those systems, how is it that you are preparation for testimony today? 8 familiar with common carrier pipelines 8 9 MR. STACK: Objection. 9 in the United States? MR. STACK: Objection. 10 Α. Well, I -- as I've stated, 10 I reviewed these documents which we've Well, I'm familiar from the 11 11 12 talked about, the National Petroleum 12 point of view of their relationship to News, the Oil and Gas Journal, and a 13 13 refining and moving product to market. list of terminals from the OPIS terminal 14 14 So typically my engagements have been 15 directory listing. So I have reviewed 15 with refiners themselves or preparing 16 some documents, yes. analyses for the, in this case, the 16 17 Did you review those 17 overall U.S. market or regional parts of 18 documents that you've just mentioned to 18 the U.S. market. And to do that, you 19 prepare for your testimony today or 19 need to have a knowledge of how 20 for -- to put together the charts in 20 pipelines work, common carrier pipelines work and the big systems. But in 21 Exhibit 8? 21 22 MR. STACK: Objection. 22 general, you know, I have not worked 23 It was put together, the 23 directly for pipeline systems. Α. 24 charts. 24 Q. You were also asked a